arXiv:math/0607546v3 [math.DG] 25 Jun 2008

Ricci Solitons – The Equation Point of View

Manolo Eminenti, Gabriele La Nave, and Carlo Mantegazza

ABSTRACT. We discuss some classification results for Ricci solitons, that is, self similar solutions of the Ricci Flow.
New simpler proofs of some known results will be presented.
In detail, we will take the equation point of view, trying to avoid the tools provided by considering the dynamic properties of the Ricci flow.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. General Computations 2
3. Compact Ricci Solitons 5
Appendix A. Minimizing Perelman's Functional 14
Appendix B. Strong Maximum Principle for Semilinear Equations on Manifolds 16
Appendix C. Some Open Problems 20
References 20

1. Introduction

DEFINITION 1.1. A *Ricci Soliton* is a smooth n–dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) such that there exists a smooth 1–form ω such that

$$2\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla_i \omega_j + \nabla_j \omega_i = \frac{2\mu}{n} g_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

for some constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

A *gradient Ricci Soliton* is a smooth n–dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) such that there exists a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, sometimes called *potential function*, satisfying

$$\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij} f = \frac{\mu}{n} g_{ij} \tag{1.2}$$

for some constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Sometimes in literature these manifolds are called *quasi–Einstein* manifolds.

A soliton is said to be *contracting*, *steady* or *expanding* if the constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is respectively positive, zero or negative.

We say that a soliton is *trivial* if the form ω can be chosen to be zero, or the function f to be constant.

Key words and phrases. Ricci Flow.

This is like to say that (M,g) is an Einstein manifold (in dimension three or if the Weyl tensor is zero, it is equivalent to constant curvature).

REMARK 1.2. Clearly, a Ricci soliton is a gradient soliton if the form ω above is exact.

Ricci solitons move under the Ricci flow simply by diffeomorphisms and homotheties of the initial metric, that is, in other words, they are stationary points of the Ricci flow in the space of the metrics on M modulo diffeomorphism and scaling.
Moreover, their importance is due to the fact that they arise as blow–up limits of the Ricci flow when singularities develop.
In this note we try to analyze these manifolds forgetting the properties of the Ricci flow and the related *dynamical* techniques, just looking at the defining elliptic equations (1.1) and (1.2).

We suggest to the interested reader the preprint of Derdzinski [**12**] and the survey of Cao [**5**] as very comprehensive reviews of the present literature, open problems and recent developments.

During the publication of this paper, there appear several preprints extending the results (or presenting different proofs) to the complete noncompact case [**8, 13, 22, 23, 24, 26**].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . We wish to thank Xiaodong Cao for pointing us at some inaccuracies in earlier versions of the paper.

2. General Computations

We recall some well known facts from Riemannian geometry:

- The Schur's Lemma, when $n > 2$

$$2\mathrm{div}\mathrm{Ric} = d\mathrm{R}\,.$$

- The fact that $\mathrm{R}_{ij} = g_{ij}\mathrm{R}/2$ when $n = 2$.
- The formula for the interchange of covariant derivatives of a form,

$$\nabla^2_{ij}\omega_k - \nabla^2_{ji}\omega_k = \mathrm{R}_{ijks}\omega^s\,.$$

- The decomposition of the Riemann tensor,

$$\mathrm{R}_{ijkl} = -\frac{\mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}) + \frac{1}{n-2}(\mathrm{R}_{ik}g_{jl} - \mathrm{R}_{il}g_{jk} + \mathrm{R}_{jl}g_{ik} - \mathrm{R}_{jk}g_{il}) + \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\,.$$

- The fact that the Weyl tensor W is zero when $n \leq 3$.

Now we work out some consequences of equation (1.2).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let (M,g) be a Ricci gradient soliton, then the following formulas hold,*

$$\mathrm{R} + \Delta f = \mu \tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla_i\mathrm{R} = 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^j f \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{ik} - \nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{jk} = \mathrm{R}_{ijks}\nabla^s f\,. \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathrm{R} + |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{2\mu}{n}f = \textit{constant} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\Delta \mathrm{R} = \langle \nabla \mathrm{R} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R} - 2|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ij} = \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ij} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ij} - 2\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\mathrm{R}^{ks} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} = &\langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ik} - \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}R^{jl} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\big(\mathrm{R}^2 g_{ik} - n\mathrm{R}\mathrm{R}_{ik} + 2(n-1)\mathrm{S}_{ik} - (n-1)\mathrm{S}g_{ik}\big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathrm{S}_{ik} = \mathrm{R}_{ij}g^{jl}\mathrm{R}_{lk}$ *and* $\mathrm{S} = \mathrm{tr}\,\mathrm{S} = |\mathrm{Ric}|^2$.

PROOF. Equation (2.1): we simply contract equation (1.2).

Equation (2.2): we take the divergence of the Ricci tensor, by using equation (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{Ric}_i = &\, g^{jk}\nabla_k \mathrm{R}_{ij} \\ = &- g^{jk}\nabla_k\nabla_i\nabla_j f \\ = &- g^{jk}\nabla_i\nabla_k\nabla_j f - g^{jk}\mathrm{R}_{kijs}\nabla^s f \\ = &- \nabla_i\Delta f - \mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla^s f\,,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula for the interchange of covariant derivatives. Using then equation (2.1) and Schur's Lemma we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i \mathrm{R} = -\nabla_i(\mu - \mathrm{R}) - \mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla^s f = \nabla_i \mathrm{R} - \mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla^s f\,,$$

hence, equation (2.2) follows.

Equation (2.3): it follows by a computation analogous to the previous one.

Relation (2.4): it follows by simply differentiating the quantity on the left side and using equations (2.2) and (1.2).

Equation (2.5): once obtained equation (2.6), it follows by contracting it with the metric g.

Equation (2.6): we compute the Laplacian of the Ricci tensor by means of equation (2.3) and the second Bianchi identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} = \nabla^j \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \nabla^j \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk} + \nabla^j \mathrm{R}_{ijks} \nabla^s f + \mathrm{R}_{ijks} \nabla^j \nabla^s f \\
&= \nabla_i \nabla^j \mathrm{R}_{jk} + \mathrm{R}^j{}_{ijs} \mathrm{R}^s_k + \mathrm{R}^j{}_{iks} \mathrm{R}^s_j \\
&\quad + \nabla_k \mathrm{R}^j{}_{sij} \nabla^s f - \nabla_s \mathrm{R}^j{}_{kij} \nabla^s f + \mathrm{R}_{ijks} \nabla^j \nabla^s f \\
&= \nabla_i \nabla^j \mathrm{R}_{jk} + \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k + \mathrm{R}^j{}_{iks} \mathrm{R}^s_j \\
&\quad - \nabla_k \mathrm{R}_{si} \nabla^s f + \nabla_s \mathrm{R}_{ki} \nabla^s f + \mathrm{R}_{ijks} \nabla^j \nabla^s f \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \nabla_k \mathrm{R} + \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k + \mathrm{R}^j{}_{iks} \mathrm{R}^s_j \\
&\quad - \nabla_k \mathrm{R}_{si} \nabla^s f + \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle - \mathrm{R}_{ijks} \mathrm{R}^{js} + \frac{\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,, \\
&= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{R}_{ijks} \mathrm{R}^{js} + \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k \nabla_i \mathrm{R} - \nabla_k \mathrm{R}_{is} \nabla^s f \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used also Schur's Lemma, substituted $\nabla^j \nabla^s f$ by means of equation (1.2) and rearranged some terms in the last line.
Differentiating relation (2.2) we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k \left(\nabla_i \mathrm{R} - 2\mathrm{R}_{is} \nabla^s f \right)$$

hence,

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_k \nabla_i \mathrm{R} - \nabla_k \mathrm{R}_{is} \nabla^s f = \mathrm{R}_{is} \nabla^s \nabla_k f \,.$$

Then, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{R}_{ijks} \mathrm{R}^{js} + \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k + \mathrm{R}_{is} \nabla^s \nabla_k f \\
&= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{R}_{ijks} \mathrm{R}^{js} + \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k + \frac{\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{is} - \mathrm{R}_{is} \mathrm{R}^s_k \\
&= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n} \mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{R}_{ijks} \mathrm{R}^{js} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.7): by using the decomposition of the Riemann tensor we can go on with the computation in formula (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}^{jl}\\ &\quad + \frac{2\mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk})\mathrm{R}^{jl}\\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n-2}(\mathrm{R}_{ik}g_{jl} - \mathrm{R}_{il}g_{jk} + \mathrm{R}_{jl}g_{ik} - \mathrm{R}_{jk}g_{il})\mathrm{R}^{jl}\\ &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}^{jl}\\ &\quad + \frac{2\mathrm{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}(\mathrm{R}g_{ik} - \mathrm{R}_{ik}) - \frac{2}{n-2}(\mathrm{R}\mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{S}_{ik} + \mathrm{S}g_{ik})\\ &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}^{jl}\\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\big(\mathrm{R}^2 g_{ik} - n\mathrm{R}\mathrm{R}_{ik} + 2(n-1)\mathrm{S}_{ik} - (n-1)\mathrm{S}g_{ik}\big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.1. The Case $n = 2$. We can use the complete description of the curvature tensor via the scalar curvature R, that is $\mathrm{R}_{ij} = \mathrm{R}g_{ij}/2$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $n = 2$ there hold,*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2_{ij} f &= \frac{\mu - \mathrm{R}}{2} g_{ij}\\ \nabla \mathrm{R} &= \mathrm{R}\nabla f\\ \Delta \mathrm{R} &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \mu \mathrm{R} - \mathrm{R}^2\end{aligned}$$

2.2. The Case $n = 3$. Using equation (2.7), as the Weyl tensor W is identically zero for every 3–manifold, we get

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $n = 3$ there hold,*

$$\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} = \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{3}\mathrm{R}_{ik} + \mathrm{R}^2 g_{ik} - 3\mathrm{R}\mathrm{R}_{ik} + 4\mathrm{S}_{ik} - 2\mathrm{S}g_{ik}$$

with $\mathrm{S}_{ik} = \mathrm{R}_{ij}g^{jl}\mathrm{R}_{lk}$ *and* $\mathrm{S} = |\mathrm{Ric}|^2$.

This proposition clearly generalizes to dimension $n > 3$ when $\mathrm{W} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ik}\\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\big(\mathrm{R}^2 g_{ik} - n\mathrm{R}\mathrm{R}_{ik} + 2(n-1)\mathrm{S}_{ik} - (n-1)\mathrm{S}g_{ik}\big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

3. Compact Ricci Solitons

By means of Perelman work **[25]** and previous others, see Hamilton **[18]** (dimension two) and Ivey **[19]** (dimension 3), we have the following fact.

THEOREM 3.1 (Perelman). *Every compact Ricci soliton is a gradient Ricci soliton.*

PROOF. Let (M,g) be a Ricci Soliton, with a potential form ω. We start with the following computation for a generic smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{kj}\nabla_k\,[2(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)e^{-f}]\\
&\quad= (\nabla_i\mathrm{R} + 2\Delta\nabla_i f)e^{-f} - 2[(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)g^{jk}\nabla_k f]e^{-f}\\
&\quad= (\nabla_i\mathrm{R} + 2\nabla_i\Delta f + 2\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla^s f)e^{-f} - 2[(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)g^{jk}\nabla_k f]e^{-f}\\
&\quad= (\nabla_i\mathrm{R} + 2\nabla_i\Delta f - 2g^{jk}\nabla^2_{ij}f\nabla_k f + 2\mu/n\nabla_i f)e^{-f}\\
&\quad= \nabla_i(\mathrm{R} + 2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu f/n)e^{-f}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, supposing to find a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathrm{R} + 2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu f/n \tag{3.1}$$

is constant, we have

$$\mathrm{div}[(\mathrm{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \mu g/n)e^{-f}] = 0\,.$$

Then, as $\nabla_l\omega_k + \nabla_k\omega_l = -2\mathrm{R}_{lk} + 2\mu g_{lk}/n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{div}[(\nabla_k f - \omega_k)g^{kj}(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)e^{-f}]\\
&\quad= (\nabla^2_{lk}f - \nabla_l\omega_k)g^{kj}g^{li}(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)e^{-f}\\
&\quad= (2\nabla^2_{lk}f - \nabla_l\omega_k - \nabla_k\omega_l)g^{kj}g^{li}(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)e^{-f}/2\\
&\quad= (2\nabla^2_{lk}f + 2\mathrm{R}_{lk} - 2\mu g_{lk}/n)g^{kj}g^{li}(\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n)e^{-f}/2\\
&\quad= |\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n|^2 e^{-f}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where, passing from the second to the third line, we substituted $\nabla_l\omega_k$ with $(\nabla_l\omega_k + \nabla_k\omega_l)/2$ since the skew–symmetric component of $\nabla\omega$ vanishes once we contract it with the symmetric 2–form $\mathrm{R}_{ij} + \nabla^2_{ij}f - \mu g_{ij}/n$.
Hence, we conclude that

$$0 \le Q = |\mathrm{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \mu g/n|^2 e^{-f} = \mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{T}$$

for some 1–form T.
Integrating Q on M, we immediately get that $Q = 0$, since it is nonnegative.
This clearly implies that (M,g) is a gradient Ricci soliton with a potential f.

The existence of a function f such that relation (3.1) holds, can be proven by constrained minimization of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ functional (defined in [**25**]). A logarithmic Sobolev estimate is needed, see Appendix A. □

PROBLEM 3.2. Is it possible to prove Theorem 3.1 without using arguments related to Ricci flow, that is, showing directly that the form ω in equation (1.1) is exact?

REMARK 3.3. In the noncompact case, there exists *non* gradient Ricci solitons, see Baird and Danielo [**1**], Lott [**21**].

We can then concentrate ourselves on compact *gradient* Ricci solitons.
The key tool will be the maximum principle for elliptic equations.
We start from equation (2.5),

$$\Delta\mathrm{R} = \langle\nabla\mathrm{R}\,|\,\nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R} - 2|\mathrm{Ric}|^2$$

noticing that $\mu = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M \mathrm{R} \geq \mathrm{R}_{\min}$, with equality if and only if R is constant.
We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \mathrm{R} &= \langle \nabla \mathrm{R} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R} - 2|\overset{\circ}{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 - 2\mathrm{R}^2/n \\ &\leq \langle \nabla \mathrm{R} \,|\, \nabla f \rangle + \frac{2\mathrm{R}}{n}(\mu - \mathrm{R})\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted with $\overset{\circ}{\mathrm{Ric}}$ the *tracefree* part of the Ricci tensor.
When R gets its minimum,

$$\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\min} \leq \frac{2\mathrm{R}_{\min}}{n}(\mu - \mathrm{R}_{\min})\,.$$

This relation, by the strong maximum principle, implies that if R is nonconstant, then it must be positive everywhere, hence also μ is positive.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Every steady or expanding compact Ricci soliton has the scalar curvature* R *constant (and equal to the constant* μ*).*

Coming back to equation (2.1) this fact forces $\Delta f = 0$, hence, since we are on a compact manifold, f is constant and the soliton is trivial.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Every steady or expanding compact Ricci soliton is trivial.*

Now we deal with contracting compact gradient Ricci solitons.

The two–dimensional case is special, we saw that $\mathrm{R} > 0$, hence topologically we are dealing with $\mathbb{S}^2$ or its $\mathbb{Z}_2$–quotient $\mathbb{RP}^2$.
The relevant equation is

$$\nabla^2_{ij} f = \frac{\mu - \mathrm{R}}{2} g_{ij}\,,$$

indeed, differently by the 3–dimensional case, the II Bianchi identity (hence the Schur's Lemma) is a void condition, making this case more difficult.
The following result was first proved by Hamilton [**18**] with an argument using the uniformization theorem which can be strongly simplified by means of Kazdan–Warner identity (which relies on uniformization), see [**10**, pag. 131] and [**6**]. Recently, Chen, Lu and Tian found a simple proof independent by uniformization of surfaces [**9**].

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Every contracting, compact, two–dimensional Ricci soliton is* $\mathbb{S}^2$ *or* $\mathbb{RP}^2$ *with the standard metric.*

We assume now to be in dimension three or in higher dimension with a zero Weyl tensor. As we said, the scalar curvature R must be positive everywhere, then by means of equation (2.8) we have,

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{ik}}{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \frac{\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ik}}{\mathrm{R}} - \frac{\mathrm{R}_{ik}\Delta \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla \mathrm{R}|^2 \mathrm{R}_{ik}}{\mathrm{R}^3} - 2\frac{\langle \nabla \mathrm{R}_{ik} \,|\, \nabla \mathrm{R} \rangle}{\mathrm{R}^2}\,,$$

substituting the equations for ΔR_{ik} and ΔR we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\frac{R_{ik}}{R}\right) &= \frac{\Delta R_{ik}}{R} - \frac{R_{ik}\Delta R}{R^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla R|^2 R_{ik}}{R^3} - 2\frac{\langle \nabla R_{ik} \,|\, \nabla R\rangle}{R^2} \\
&= \frac{\langle \nabla R_{ik} \,|\, \nabla f\rangle}{R} + \frac{2\mu}{n}\frac{R_{ik}}{R} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\big(R^2 g_{ik} - nRR_{ik} + 2(n-1)S_{ik} - (n-1)Sg_{ik}\big)}{(n-1)(n-2)R} \\
&\quad - \frac{R_{ik}\Delta R}{R^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla R|^2 R_{ik}}{R^3} - 2\frac{\langle \nabla R_{ik} \,|\, \nabla R\rangle}{R^2} \\
&= \Big\langle \frac{\nabla R_{ik}}{R} \,\Big|\, \nabla f - 2\frac{\nabla R}{R}\Big\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\frac{R_{ik}}{R} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\big(R^2 g_{ik} - nRR_{ik} + 2(n-1)S_{ik} - (n-1)Sg_{ik}\big)}{(n-1)(n-2)R} \\
&\quad - \frac{R_{ik}\langle \nabla R \,|\, \nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}RR_{ik} - 2|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 R_{ik}}{R^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla R|^2 R_{ik}}{R^3} \\
&= \Big\langle \nabla\Big(\frac{R_{ik}}{R}\Big) \,\Big|\, \nabla f - 2\frac{\nabla R}{R}\Big\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(Rg_{ik} - nR_{ik} + \frac{2(n-1)S_{ik}}{R} - \frac{(n-1)Sg_{ik}}{R}\right) + \frac{2SR_{ik}}{R^2} \\
&= \Big\langle \nabla\Big(\frac{R_{ik}}{R}\Big) \,\Big|\, \nabla f - 2\frac{\nabla R}{R}\Big\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{2\left(R^3 g_{ik} - nR^2 R_{ik} + 2(n-1)RS_{ik} - (n-1)SRg_{ik} + (n-1)(n-2)SR_{ik}\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)R^2}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $\lambda_{\min} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ to be the minimal eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor. If $p \in M$ is the point where $\lambda_{\min}/R$ gets its minimum with eigenvector v_p, we consider a local unit smooth tangent vector field $w = w^i$ such that $w(p) = v_p$, $\nabla w^i(p) = \Delta w^i(p) = 0$. Then the smooth function $R_{ij}w^i w^j/R$ has a local minimum at p, $(R_{ij}w^i w^j/R)(p) = \lambda_{\min}(p)/R(p)$, $\nabla(R_{ij}w^i w^j/R)(p) = 0$ and $\Delta(R_{ij}w^i w^j/R)(p) \geq 0$.
By the assumptions on the derivatives of w at p we have$\nabla(R_{ij}/R)(p)v^i_p v^j_p = 0$ and $\Delta(R_{ij}/R)(p)v^i_p v^j_p \geq 0$, hence, using the previous equation,

$$0 \leq \Delta(R_{ij}/R)(p)v^i_p v^j_p = \frac{2\left(R^3 - n\lambda_{\min}R^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\min}^2 R - (n-1)SR + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\min}S\right)}{R^2}\,,$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at $p \in M$.
This implies,

$$0 \leq R^3 - n\lambda_{\min}R^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\min}^2 R - (n-1)SR + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\min}S\,. \tag{3.2}$$

We work on this term, setting $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}$ to be respectively the sum and the sum of the squares of the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor, but λ_{min}.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \le\, & \mathrm{R}^3 - n\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}\mathrm{R}^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2\mathrm{R} - (n-1)\mathrm{S}\mathrm{R} + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}\mathrm{S}\\
=\, & (\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} + \widetilde{\mathrm{R}})^3 - n\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} + \widetilde{\mathrm{R}})^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} + \widetilde{\mathrm{R}})\\
& - (n-1)(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2 + \widetilde{\mathrm{S}})(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} + \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}) + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2 + \widetilde{\mathrm{S}})\\
=\, & \lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^3\big(1 - n + 2(n-1) - (n-1) + (n-1)(n-2)\big)\\
& + \lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2\big(3\widetilde{\mathrm{R}} - 2n\widetilde{\mathrm{R}} + 2(n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{R}} - (n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big)\\
& + \lambda_{\mathrm{min}}\big(3\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2 - n\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2 - (n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}} + (n-1)(n-2)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}\big)\\
& + \big(\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^3 - (n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}\big)\\
=\, & (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^3 - (n-2)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\\
& + (n-3)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}\big((n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2\big) - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big((n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2\big)\\
=\, & (n-2)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}^2\big(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(n-1) - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big) + \big((n-3)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big)\big((n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2\big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, as R is positive, both terms $\big(\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(n-1) - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big)$ and $\big((n-3)\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}\big)$ are nonpositive. Using the *Arithmetic–Quadratic* mean inequality we see that the term $\big((n-1)\widetilde{\mathrm{S}} - \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}^2\big)$ must be nonnegative, so we conclude that all this expression is nonpositive. Hence, it must be zero at p, the point where $\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}/\mathrm{R}$ gets its minimum.
There are only two possibilities this can happen: either $\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(p) = 0$ and all the other $n-1$ eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor are equal, or all the eigenvalues are equal (and positive as $R > 0$).
In this latter case, $\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(p) = \mathrm{R}/n$ and since we are in the point of minimum, $\mathrm{R}_{ij}/\mathrm{R} \geq g_{ij}/n$ or $\mathrm{R}_{ij} \geq \mathrm{R}g_{ij}/n$ on the whole manifold. But this inequality easily implies that (M, g) is an Einstein manifold (the soliton is trivial).
In the other case, as $\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(p) = 0$ and all the other $n-1$ eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor are equal to $\mathrm{R}/(n-1)$. It can be shown that locally around p the eigenvector $v(q)$ realizing the minimal eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}(q)$ can be chosen smoothly depending on the point q (locally there are no "bifurcations" of the minimal eigenvalue of R_{ij}). Then, as $\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i = \lambda_{\mathrm{min}}v_j$, differentiating this relation, we get

$$\nabla^k\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i = \nabla^k\lambda_{\mathrm{min}}v_j + \lambda_{\mathrm{min}}\nabla^k v_j - \mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^k v^i\,,$$

then we compute for $\lambda_{\min} = \mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\lambda_{\min} =\,& \Delta(\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j)\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j + 4\nabla^k\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i\nabla_k v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^k v^i\nabla_k v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i\Delta v^j\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^k v^i\nabla_k v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i\Delta v^j\\
&+ 4\nabla^k\lambda_{\min}v_j\nabla_k v^j + 4\lambda_{\min}\nabla^k v_j\nabla_k v^j - 4\mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^k v^i\nabla_k v^j\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j - 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}\nabla^k v^i\nabla_k v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i\Delta v^j + 2\nabla^k\lambda_{\min}\nabla_k|v|^2 + 4\lambda_{\min}|\nabla v|^2\\
\leq\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j - 2\lambda_{\min}\nabla^k v_j\nabla^k v^j + 2\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i\Delta v^j + 4\lambda_{\min}|\nabla v|^2\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j + 2\lambda_{\min}|\nabla v|^2 + 2\lambda_{\min}v_j\Delta v^j\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j + \lambda_{\min}\Delta|v|^2\\
=\,& \Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}v^i v^j\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Working as before, we obtain the following elliptic inequality for the minimal eigenvalue, locally around p,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\lambda_{\min} \leq\,& \langle\nabla\lambda_{\min}\,|\,\nabla f\rangle + \frac{2\mu}{n}\lambda_{\min}\\
&+ \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\big(\mathrm{R}^2 - n\mathrm{R}\lambda_{\min} + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\min}^2 - (n-1)\mathrm{S}\big)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\Big(\frac{\lambda_{\min}}{\mathrm{R}}\Big) \leq\,& \Big\langle\nabla\Big(\frac{\lambda_{\min}}{\mathrm{R}}\Big)\,\Big|\,\nabla f - 2\frac{\nabla\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}}\Big\rangle\\
&+ \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\big(\mathrm{R} - n\lambda_{\min} + 2(n-1)\lambda_{\min}^2/\mathrm{R} - (n-1)\mathrm{S}/\mathrm{R}\big) + 2\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{S}/\mathrm{R}^2\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

holding locally around p where $\lambda_{\min}/\mathrm{R}$ get the local minimum zero.
As at this local minimum $\Delta\Big(\frac{\lambda_{\min}}{\mathrm{R}}\Big) = 0$, by the strong maximum principle, it follows that $\lambda_{\min}/\mathrm{R}$ is locally constant around p. Then it is an easy consequence that this must hold on the whole connected M and $\lambda_{\min}$ is identically zero.
Getting back to the initial equation (1.2), we put ourselves at the point $p \in M$ where the function f gets its maximum. If $v \in T_pM$ is the unit zero eigenvector of the Ricci tensor, we take normal coordinates at p such that $v = \partial_{x_1}$, hence

$$
\mathrm{R}_{ij}(p)v^i v^j + \nabla^2_{ij}f(p)v^i v^i = \frac{\mu}{n}\,,
$$

that is,

$$
\nabla^2_{11}f(p) = \mu/n > 0\,,
$$

which is impossible as p is a maximum point for f.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Every contracting, compact, three–dimensional Ricci soliton is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^3$ with the standard metric.*

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Every contracting, compact, Ricci soliton when $n > 3$ and the Weyl tensor is zero, is trivial. Then, it is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^n$ with the standard metric.*

REMARK 3.9. In the recent preprint **[8]** Cao and Wang also prove this result by means of a completely different method.

When $n > 3$, we have counterexamples to the triviality of compact Ricci solitons due to Koiso [**20**], Cao [**4**], Feldman, Ilmanen and Ni [**14**]. Moreover, some of these examples have $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$. See also Bryant [**3**].
In general, we only know that it must be $\mathrm{R} > 0$ and nonconstant.

PROBLEM 3.10. Are there special conditions in dimension $n = 4$ (on the Weyl tensor?) assuring that a contracting, compact, Ricci soliton is trivial?

PROBLEM 3.11. Are there counterexamples in dimension $n = 5$?

Recently Böhm and Wilking [**2**] showed the following Hamilton's conjecture.

THEOREM 3.12. *If the Riemann* operator *is definite positive, every contracting, compact, Ricci soliton is trivial.*

Hence, it is a quotient of $\mathbb{S}^n$, by a theorem of Tachibana [**28**].
Previously, by Hamilton work [**17**] this result was known for $n \leq 4$ and there was a partial result by Cao [**7**] in any dimension.

PROBLEM 3.13. The sectional curvatures of a compact, NONtrivial, contracting Ricci soliton can be all positive (nonnegative)?

PROBLEM 3.14. What are in general the properties of compact, NONtrivial, contracting Ricci solitons?

See this paper by Derdzinski [**11**].
We are also aware of a preprint [**15**] of Fernández–López and García–Río where they show that the first fundamental group has to be finite.
We give here a short proof of this fact.

PROPOSITION 3.15. *The first fundamental group of a compact shrinking Ricci solitons is finite.*

PROOF. Denoting with $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ the Riemannian universal covering of M, it is well known that the fundamental group is in one–to–one correspondence with the discrete counterimage of a basepoint $p \in M$. Clearly $\widetilde{M}$ is again a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton (possibly noncompact) with a potential function $\widetilde{f} = f \circ \pi$, since π is a local isometry.

Let a and b be a pair of points with $\pi(a) = \pi(b) = p$ and $\gamma : [0, L] \to \widetilde{M}$ the minimal geodesic between them, parametrized by arclength.
Let E_i be an orthonormal basis of $T_a\widetilde{M}$ such that $E_1 = \gamma'(0)$ extended by parallel transport along γ. If $h(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)$, we define the fields $Y_i(t) = h(t)E_i$, zero at $t = 0, L$.
As γ is minimal, the index form is nonnegative definite,

$$0 \leq I(Y_i, Y_i) = \int_0^L |Y_i'|^2 - \mathrm{R}(Y_i, \gamma', Y_i, \gamma')\, dt = \int_0^L |h'(t)|^2 - h^2(t)\mathrm{R}(E_i, \gamma', E_i, \gamma')\, dt\,,$$

and after summing on $i \in 1, \dots, n$, we get

$$0 \leq \frac{\pi^2(n-1)}{L^2} \int_0^L \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) dt - \int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) \mathrm{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma')\, dt\,.$$

Substituting now the Ricci soliton equation we get

$$\int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) \frac{\mu}{n} |\gamma'|^2\, dt - \int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) \nabla^2_{\gamma',\gamma'} \widetilde{f}\, dt \leq \frac{\pi^2(n-1)}{L^2} \int_0^L \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) dt$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mu}{n}\int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\,dt - \int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}[\widetilde{f}(\gamma(t))]\,dt\\
&\quad=\frac{\mu}{n}\int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\,dt + 2\frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\int_0^L\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)-\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\right]\widetilde{f}(\gamma(t))\,dt\\
&\quad\leq\frac{\pi^2(n-1)}{L^2}\int_0^L\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\,dt\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As $|\widetilde{f}|\leq \max_M|f|\leq C$ and setting $A=\int_0^L\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\,dt=\int_0^L\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\,dt$ we obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{n}A-2CA\frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\leq\frac{(n-1)\pi^2}{L^2}A$$

hence,

$$L^2\leq\frac{n\pi^2(n-1+2C)}{\mu}\,.$$

This estimate says that all the counterimages of a point $p\in M$ belong to a bounded, hence compact, subset of $\widetilde{M}$. Since such a set is discrete, it must be finite. The thesis then follows. Also, the universal covering is compact.

Notice that, as a byproduct of this argument, we have that if the potential function f of a complete, shrinking gradient Ricci soliton is bounded then the soliton is compact. Moreover, by equation (2.4) it also follows that if R is bounded and $|\nabla f|$ is bounded, again the soliton is compact. □

3.1. Another Proof of Proposition 3.7. We give now a direct proof of Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 only using the defining equation (1.1), without passing by Theorem 3.1.

We start with the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\nabla_i\omega_j &=\nabla_k\nabla^k\nabla_i\omega_j=\nabla_k(\nabla_i\nabla^k\omega_j+\mathrm{R}^k{}_{ijs}\omega^s)\\
&=-\nabla_k\nabla_i\nabla_j\omega^k-2\nabla_k\nabla_i\mathrm{R}^k_j+\nabla_k\mathrm{R}^k{}_{ijs}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}^k{}_{ijs}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
&=-\nabla_i\nabla_k\nabla_j\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{kijs}\nabla^s\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{ki}{}^k{}_s\nabla_j\omega^s-2\nabla_i\nabla_k\mathrm{R}^k_j-2\mathrm{R}_{ki}{}^k{}_s\mathrm{R}^s_j-2\mathrm{R}_{kij}{}^s\mathrm{R}^k_s\\
&\quad-\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{sk}{}^k{}_i\omega^s-\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{kj}{}^k{}_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}^k{}_{ijs}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
&=-\nabla_i\nabla_j\nabla_k\omega^k-\nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{js}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^s\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s-\nabla_i\nabla_j\mathrm{R}\\
&\quad-2\mathrm{S}_{ij}+2\mathrm{R}_{ikj}{}^s\mathrm{R}^k_s+\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{is}\omega^s-\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_i{}^k{}_{js}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
&=\nabla_i\nabla_j\mathrm{R}-\nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{js}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^s\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s-\nabla_i\nabla_j\mathrm{R}\\
&\quad-2\mathrm{S}_{ij}+2\mathrm{R}_{ikj}{}^s\mathrm{R}^k_s+\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{is}\omega^s-\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_i{}^k{}_{js}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
&=-\nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{js}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^s\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s\\
&\quad-2\mathrm{S}_{ij}+2\mathrm{R}_{ikj}{}^s\mathrm{R}^k_s+\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{is}\omega^s-\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_i{}^k{}_{js}\nabla_k\omega^s
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we are ready to write the Laplacian of the Ricci tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta \mathrm{R}_{ij} =& -\Delta\left(\nabla_i\omega_j+\nabla_j\omega_i\right)\\
=&\nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{js}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^s\omega^k+\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s\\
&+\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{is}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s-\mathrm{R}_{jkis}\nabla^s\omega^k+\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s\\
&+2\mathrm{S}_{ij}-2\mathrm{R}_{ikj}{}^{s}\mathrm{R}^k_s-\nabla_j\mathrm{R}_{is}\omega^s+\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_i{}^k{}_{js}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
&+2\mathrm{S}_{ji}-2\mathrm{R}_{jki}{}^{s}\mathrm{R}^k_s-\nabla_i\mathrm{R}_{js}\omega^s+\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ji}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_j{}^k{}_{is}\nabla_k\omega^s\\
=&2\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s+2\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+2\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s\\
&-\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^s\omega^k-\mathrm{R}_{jkis}\nabla^s\omega^k+\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\nabla^k\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{jkis}\nabla^k\omega^s\\
&+4\mathrm{S}_{ij}-4\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\mathrm{R}^{ks}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, noticing that all the second line cancels,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathrm{R}_{ij}=&\nabla_s\mathrm{R}_{ij}\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s+2\mathrm{S}_{ij}-2\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\mathrm{R}^{ks}\\
=&\left\langle\nabla\mathrm{R}_{ij}\,\middle|\,\omega\right\rangle-2\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\mathrm{R}^{ks}\\
&-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{is}}{2}\Big(\nabla_j\omega^s+\nabla^s\omega_j-\frac{2\mu}{n}g_{sj}\Big)-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{js}}{2}\Big(\nabla_i\omega^s+\nabla^s\omega_i-\frac{2\mu}{n}g_{si}\Big)\\
&+\mathrm{R}_{js}\nabla_i\omega^s+\mathrm{R}_{is}\nabla_j\omega^s\\
=&\left\langle\nabla\mathrm{R}_{ij}\,\middle|\,\omega\right\rangle-2\mathrm{R}_{ikjs}\mathrm{R}^{ks}+\frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}_{ij}+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{is}}{2}\left(\nabla_j\omega^s-\nabla^s\omega_j\right)+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{js}}{2}\left(\nabla_i\omega^s-\nabla^s\omega_i\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, contracting this equation with g^{ij} we get

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathrm{R}=&\left\langle\nabla\mathrm{R}\,|\,\omega\right\rangle-2\mathrm{R}_{ks}\mathrm{R}^{ks}+\frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{is}}{2}\left(\nabla_j\omega^s-\nabla^s\omega_j\right)g^{ij}+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{js}}{2}\left(\nabla_i\omega^s-\nabla^s\omega_i\right)g^{ij}\\
=&\left\langle\nabla\mathrm{R}\,|\,\omega\right\rangle+\frac{2\mu}{n}\mathrm{R}-2\mathrm{S}
\end{aligned}$$

by the skew–symmetry of the sum of the last two terms.
When $n=3$ or in general if the Weyl tensor is zero, as before, setting $\lambda_{\min}: M\to\mathbb{R}$ to be the minimal eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor, if $p\in M$ is the point where $\lambda_{\min}/\mathrm{R}$ gets its minimum with eigenvector v_p, we consider a local unit smooth tangent vector field $w=w^i$ such that $w(p)=v_p$, $\nabla w^i(p)=\Delta w^i(p)=0$. Then the smooth function $\mathrm{R}_{ij}w^iw^j/\mathrm{R}$ has a local minimum at p, $(\mathrm{R}_{ij}w^iw^j/\mathrm{R})(p)=\lambda_{\min}(p)/\mathrm{R}(p)$, $\nabla(\mathrm{R}_{ij}w^iw^j/\mathrm{R})(p)=0$ and $\Delta(\mathrm{R}_{ij}w^iw^j/\mathrm{R})(p)\geq 0$.
By the assumptions on the derivatives of w at p we have$\nabla(\mathrm{R}_{ij}/\mathrm{R})(p)v^i_pv^j_p=0$ and

$\Delta(\mathrm{R}_{ij}/\mathrm{R})(p)v^i_pv^j_p \geq 0$, hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \Delta(\mathrm{R}_{ij}/\mathrm{R})(p)v^i_pv^j_p\\
&= \frac{2\left(\mathrm{R}^3 - n\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{R}^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda^2_{\min}\mathrm{R} - (n-1)\mathrm{SR} + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{S}\right)}{\mathrm{R}^2}\\
&\quad + \frac{\mathrm{R}_{is}}{2}(\nabla_j\omega^s - \nabla^s\omega_j)v^i_pv^j_p + \frac{\mathrm{R}_{js}}{2}(\nabla_i\omega^s - \nabla^s\omega_i)v^i_pv^j_p\\
&= \frac{2\left(\mathrm{R}^3 - n\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{R}^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda^2_{\min}\mathrm{R} - (n-1)\mathrm{SR} + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{S}\right)}{\mathrm{R}^2}\\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_{\min}}{2}(\nabla_j\omega_s - \nabla_s\omega_j)v^s_pv^j_p + \frac{\lambda_{\min}}{2}(\nabla_i\omega_s - \nabla_s\omega_i)v^s_pv^i_p\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by skew–symmetry the last two terms cancel and we get

$$0 \leq \mathrm{R}^3 - n\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{R}^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda^2_{\min}\mathrm{R} - (n-1)\mathrm{SR} + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda_{\min}\mathrm{S}\,.$$

Since this inequality and equation (3.3) are respectively analogous to (3.2) and (2.5) for *gradient* Ricci solitons, following the proof of Proposition 3.7 we get directly to the conclusion only supposing that (M, g) is a Ricci soliton, without using Theorem (3.1) to know that we are actually dealing with a *gradient* Ricci soliton.

Appendix A. Minimizing Perelman's Functional

We suppose that (M, g) is a connected manifold, otherwise we work on every single connected component. Let dV be the Riemannian measure on M associated to g.

We show here the existence of a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathrm{R} + 2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu f/n$$

is constant, for every value $\mu > 0$.

This is related to minimizing the following Perelman's functional (see **[25]**),

$$\mathcal{W}(g, f; \tau) = \int_M \left[\tau(|\nabla f|^2 + \mathrm{R}) + f - n\right](4\pi\tau)^{-n/2}e^{-f}\,dV\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a scale parameter, under the constraint

$$f \in \left\{f \in C^\infty(M)\,\Big|\,\int_M (4\pi\tau)^{-n/2}e^{-f}\,dV = 1\right\}.$$

We consider then the functional (which differs only for a constant term by Perelman's one after the change of variable $u = e^{-f/2}$, setting $\tau = n/2\mu$, and multiplying by $1/\tau$)

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_M \left(\mathrm{R}u^2 + 4|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2\mu}{n}u^2\log u^2\right)dV\,,$$

then we look for the following constrained infimum

$$\sigma = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(u)$$

where $u \in C^\infty(M)$ runs in

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{u \in C^\infty(M)\,\Big|\,\int_M u^2\,dV = 1 \text{ and } u > 0\right\}$$

(notice that the function $x^2\log x^2$ belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ so the integrand is well defined for functions in $\mathcal{U}$).

PROPOSITION A.1. *The infimum σ is finite and there exists a smooth nonnegative function $u \in \mathcal{U}$ achieving it.*

PROOF. We show that $\sigma > -\infty$ and that any minimizing sequence $\{u_i\}$ must be uniformly bounded in the $H^1(M)$–norm.
We observe that for any $u \in C^\infty(M)$, by applying Jensen inequality with respect to the probability measure $u^2\, dV$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M u^2 \log u^2\, dV &= \frac{n-2}{2}\int_M u^2 \log u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\, dV\\ &\leq \frac{n-2}{2}\log\left(\int_M u^2 u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\, dV\right)\\ &= \frac{n-2}{2}\log\left(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\, dV\right)\\ &= \frac{n-2}{2}\log\left(\int_M u^{2^*}\, dV\right)\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\log\left(\int_M u^{2^*}\, dV\right) &\leq \log\left[\left(C_M\int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV\right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right]\\ &= \frac{n}{n-2}\log\left(C_M\int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV\right)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where C_M is the Sobolev constant of (M, g).
Putting together these two inequalities we get

$$-\frac{2\mu}{n}\int_M u^2\log u^2\, dV \geq -\frac{2\mu}{n}\log\left(C_M\int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV\right) \geq -\int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV - C\,,$$

for some positive constant C (depending only on (M, g) and μ). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(u) &= \int_M\left(\mathrm{R}u^2+4|\nabla u|^2-\frac{2\mu}{n}u^2\log u^2\right) dV\\ &\geq 4\int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV + \int_M(\mathrm{R}_{\min}-4)u^2\, dV - \int_M(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)\, dV - C\\ &\geq 3\int_M|\nabla u|^2\, dV + (\mathrm{R}_{\min}-1-C)\int_M u^2\, dV\\ &\geq \mathrm{R}_{\min}-1-C\,,\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

where in the last passage we used that $\int_M u^2\, dV = 1$. This shows that $\sigma > -\infty$.
The same argument gives that if $u_i \in C^\infty(M)$ is a minimizing sequence for $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$, then u_i is bounded in $H^1(M)$. Hence, we can extract a subsequence (not relabeled) weakly converging in $H^1(M)$ and strongly converging in $L^{2+\varepsilon}(M)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, to some function u. Clearly, by the the L^2 convergence, we have $\int_M u^2\, dV = 1$ and we can also assume $u \geq 0$, by the definition of $\mathcal{F}$.
It is easy to see that the functional $\mathcal{F}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak convergence in $H^1(M)$, as the term $u^2 \log u^2$ is *subcritical* (and the function $x^2 \log x^2$ is continuous) hence its integral is continuous.
Then, a limit function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative, constrained minimizer of $\mathcal{F}$ in

$H^1(M)$.
The Euler–Lagrange equation for u read

$$-4\Delta u + \mathrm{R}u - \frac{2\mu}{n}(u \log u^2 + u) = Cu\,,$$

for some constant C. It can be rewritten as

$$\Delta u = \mathrm{R}u/4 + Cu - \frac{\mu}{n} u \log u\,, \tag{A.3}$$

to be intended in $H^1(M)$.
As u is in $H^1(M)$ and the term $u^2 \log u$ is subcritical, a bootstrap argument together with standard elliptic estimates gives that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$.

Rothaus proved in **[27]** that a solution to equation (A.3) is positive or identically zero (see Appendix B), this second possibility is obviously excluded by the constraint $\int_M u^2\, dV = 1$.
Hence, as $x^2 \log x^2$ is smooth in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we can infer that the function u is actually smooth. ☐

We can consider then the smooth function $f = -2\log u$. A simple computation, using equation (A.3) gives the following.

COROLLARY A.2. *For every* $\mu > 0$, *there exists a smooth function* $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{R} + 2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + 2\mu f/n$$

is constant.

Clearly, this argument works with every positive τ in the functional $\mathcal{W}$.

COROLLARY A.3. *For every* (M, g) *and* $\tau > 0$ *there exists a smooth function* $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ *minimizing Perelman's functional* (A.1).

Appendix B. Strong Maximum Principle for Semilinear Equations on Manifolds

We consider the following elliptic semilinear equation on a Riemannian manifold (M, g)

$$\Delta u(q) = \varphi(u(q), q) \tag{B.1}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(0, q) = 0$ for every $q \in M$.

If $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative $C^{1,\alpha}$ solution and φ is C^1 then, in every connected component of M, either $u > 0$ or u is identically zero, by the strong maximum principle.
If the function φ is only continuous this is not true, as one can see considering the C^3 function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ f(x) = x^4 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

satisfying the equation $f'' = 12\sqrt{f}$. Here $\varphi(t) = 12\sqrt{|t|}$ which is Hölder continuous but not C^1.

Inspired by an analogous condition for uniqueness in ODE's, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION B.1. *If $\varphi \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ and for every $p \in M$ there exist some $\delta > 0$ and a continuous, nonnegative, concave function $\widetilde{\varphi} : [0, \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}(0) = 0$, $\widetilde{\varphi}(t) \geq \varphi(t, q)$ for every $t \in [0, \delta)$ and q in some neighborhood of p, and $\int_0^\delta \frac{dt}{\widetilde{\varphi}(t)} = +\infty$, then a nonnegative $C^{1,\alpha}$ solution u of equation* (B.1) *is either positive or identically zero in every connected component of M.*

REMARK B.2. Notice that this condition is not very restrictive, in particular it holds for all the equations $\Delta u = \varphi(u)$ with $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz.

PROOF. We suppose that $u = 0$ at some point $p \in M$. This clearly implies that p is a minimum point for u, hence $\nabla u(p) = 0$.
Letting $B_r = B_r(p)$ be the geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ around $p \in M$, we define, for $r > 0$ small (less than the injectivity radius R of p and such that B_r is inside the neighborhood of the hypothesis about the function φ),

$$S(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} J(\theta, r)\, d\theta$$
$$h(r) = \frac{1}{S(r)} \int_{\partial B_r} u\, d\omega = \frac{1}{S(r)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\theta, r) J(\theta, r)\, d\theta$$

where $d\omega$ is the induced measure on the geodesic sphere ∂B_r, θ is the coordinate on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with canonical measure $d\theta$ and $J(\theta, r)$ is the density of $d\omega$ with respect to $d\theta$.
By the assumptions on u, the function $h : [0, R) \to \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 and nonnegative, moreover, since $u(p) = 0$ and $\nabla u(p) = 0$ we have $h(0) = h'(0) = 0$.
By a standard computation, using the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
h'(r) &= \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\, d\omega + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\theta, r) \frac{\partial J(\theta, r)}{\partial r}\, d\theta - h(r) S'(r)}{S(r)} \\
&= \frac{\int_{B_r} \Delta u\, dV + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u \frac{\partial \log J(\theta, r)}{\partial r}\, d\omega}{S(r)} - h(r) \frac{\partial \log S(r)}{\partial r} \\
&\leq \frac{\int_{B_r} \varphi(u(q), q)\, dV(q)}{S(r)} + h(r) \left(\max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} \frac{\partial \log J(\theta, r)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \log S(r)}{\partial r} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\int_{B_r} \widetilde{\varphi}(u)\, dV}{S(r)} + h(r) \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{\partial \log(J(\theta, r)/S(r))}{\partial r} \right| \\
&= \frac{\int_0^r \int_{\partial B_t} \widetilde{\varphi}(u)\, d\omega\, dt}{S(r)} + h(r) \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{\partial \log(J(\theta, r)/S(r))}{\partial r} \right| .
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA B.3. *For every point p in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) we have*

$$\max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} \left| \frac{\partial \log(J(\theta, r)/S(r))}{\partial r} \right| = o(1)$$

as r tends to zero.

By means of this lemma we get

$$h'(r) \leq \frac{\int_0^r \int_{\partial B_t} \widetilde{\varphi}(u)\, d\omega\, dt}{S(r)} + Ch(r)$$

Using now Jensen inequality, by the concavity of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on $[0,\delta)$, for every $r > 0$ small enough ($u(q)$ tends to zero as $q \to p$) we get the following differential inequality

$$h'(r) \leq \frac{\int_0^r S(t)\widetilde{\varphi}(h(t))\,dt}{S(r)} + Ch(r)\,.$$

We introduce now the function $\overline{h}(r) = \sup_{t\in[0,r]} h(t)$. Notice that $\overline{h}$ is a nondecreasing continuous function, actually Lipschitz as $h \in C^1$, and $\overline{h} \geq h$, moreover, at every differentiability point (almost all by the Lipschitz property) we have either $\overline{h}' = 0$ or $\overline{h}' = h'$.

We have then (in distributional sense),

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{h}'(r) &\leq \frac{\int_0^r S(t)\widetilde{\varphi}(h(t))\,dt}{S(r)} + Ch(r)\\
&\leq \frac{\int_0^r S(t)\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}(t))\,dt}{S(r)} + C\overline{h}(r)\\
&\leq \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}(r))\int_0^r S(t)\,dt}{S(r)} + C\overline{h}(r)\\
&= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(B_r)}{S(r)}\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}(r)) + C\overline{h}(r)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It is a standard fact that for $r > 0$ small enough $\mathrm{Vol}(B_r)/S(r) \leq Cr \leq C$, hence we conclude

$$\overline{h}'(r) \leq C\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}(r)) + C\overline{h}(r)\,,$$

for $r > 0$ small enough.

It is now well known (by ODE's theory) that, since the condition $\int_0^\delta \frac{dt}{\widetilde{\varphi}(t)} = +\infty$ implies $\int_0^\delta \frac{dt}{\widetilde{\varphi}(t)+t} = +\infty$, the function $\overline{h}$ is identically zero on some interval $[0,\varepsilon)$.

The argument is easy: if $\overline{h}$ is positive for some interval $(0,\varepsilon)$ we have (distributionally)

$$\frac{\overline{h}'}{\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}) + \overline{h}} \leq C$$

and integrating both sides,

$$C\varepsilon \geq \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\overline{h}'(r)}{\widetilde{\varphi}(\overline{h}(r)) + \overline{h}(r)}\,dr = \int_0^{\overline{h}(\varepsilon)} \frac{dt}{\widetilde{\varphi}(t) + t} = +\infty$$

which is a contradiction.

This means that there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{h}(r) = 0$ on $[0,\varepsilon)$, hence, by construction, also $h(r) = 0$ on $[0,\varepsilon)$.

The same clearly holds for u in a neighborhood of p, as $u \geq 0$ and $h(r)$ is its spherical means on $\partial B_r(p)$. A standard connectedness argument concludes the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA B.3. We can compute the density of the spherical measure $d\omega$ with respect to $d\theta$ as follows (see [**16**, Sections 3.96, 3.98]),

$$J(\theta,r) = \sqrt{\det(g(Y_i(r),Y_j(r)))}$$

where $Y_i(t)$ are Jacobi fields (that is, $Y'' + \mathrm{R}(\gamma',Y)\gamma' = 0$) along the geodesic $\gamma(r) = \exp_p r\theta$ from $p \in M$, satisfying $Y(0) = 0$ and $Y'(0) = E_i$ where $\{\theta, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$ is an

orthonormal basis of T_pM.
By a standard computation, setting $G_{ij}(r) = g(Y_i(r), Y_j(r))$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \log J(\theta,r)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial_r J(\theta,r)}{J(\theta,r)} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\partial_r G(r)\circ G^{-1}(r)) = \operatorname{tr}(g(Y_i(r), Y_j'(r))\circ G^{-1}(r))\,.$$

Now we expand the fields Y_i and Y_i' by Taylor formula, around $r = 0$,

$$\begin{cases} Y_i(r) = rE_i(r) + o(r^2) \\ Y_i'(r) = E_i(r) + o(r) \end{cases}$$

where E_i are the parallel fields along $\gamma(r)$ with $E_i(0) = E_i$ and we used the formula $Y'' + \mathrm{R}(\gamma', Y)\gamma' = 0$. Moreover, the "$o$–terms" above can be chosen independent of θ. Then, as the parallel transport is an isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log J(\theta,r)}{\partial r} &= \operatorname{tr}(g(rE_i(r) + o(r^2), E_j(r) + o(r))\circ G^{-1}(r))\\ &= \operatorname{tr}([r\delta_{ij} + o(r^2)]\circ[r^2\delta_{ij} + o(r^3)]^{-1})\\ &= r^{-1}\operatorname{tr}([\delta_{ij} + o(r)]\circ[\delta_{ij}+o(r)]^{-1})\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to see that $[\delta_{ij} + o(r)]^{-1} = [\delta_{ij} + o(r)]$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log J(\theta,r)}{\partial r} &= r^{-1}\operatorname{tr}([\delta_{ij} + o(r)]\circ[\delta_{ij}+o(r)])\\ &= r^{-1}\operatorname{tr}[\delta_{ij} + o(r)]\\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} + o(1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof of the lemma we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log S(r)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial_r S(r)}{S(r)} &= \frac{\partial_r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} J(\theta,r)\,d\theta}{S(r)}\\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \partial_r J(\theta,r)\,d\theta}{S(r)}\\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial_r J(\theta,r)}{J(\theta,r)} J(\theta,r)\,d\theta}{S(r)}\\ &= \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial_r J(\theta,r)}{J(\theta,r)}\,d\omega}{S(r)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

hence, $\frac{\partial \log S(r)}{\partial r}$ is the spherical mean of the function $\frac{\partial \log J(\theta,r)}{\partial r} = (n-1)/r + o(1)$.
This finally implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial \log(J(\theta,r)/S(r))}{\partial r}\right| &= \left|\frac{\partial \log J(\theta,r)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \log S(r)}{\partial r}\right|\\ &= |(n-1)/r + o(1) - (n-1)/r + o(1)|\\ &= o(1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

COROLLARY B.4. *A nonnegative H^1 solution of the equation*

$$\Delta u = \mathrm{R}u/4 + Cu - \frac{\mu}{n}u\log u\,,$$

on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is either positive or identically zero in every connected component of M.

PROOF. We already know that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(M)$. In a neighborhood of any $p \in M$ the scalar curvature R is bounded, then, $\Delta u(q) = \varphi(u(q), q)$ and locally

$$\varphi(t, q) = \mathrm{R}(q)t/4 + Ct - \frac{\mu}{n} t \log t \leq \widetilde{C} t - \frac{\mu}{n} t \log t = \widetilde{\varphi}(t)\,,$$

which is a continuous, concave, nonnegative function in some interval $[0, \delta)$, satisfying the nonintegrability hypothesis in Proposition B.1, by direct check. ☐

Appendix C. Some Open Problems

PROBLEM C.1. Is it possible to prove Theorem 3.1 showing directly that the form ω is exact?

PROBLEM C.2. Are there special conditions in dimension $n = 4$ (on the Weyl tensor?) assuring that a contracting, compact, Ricci soliton is trivial?

PROBLEM C.3. Are there counterexamples in dimension $n = 5$?

PROBLEM C.4. The sectional curvatures of a compact, NONtrivial, contracting Ricci soliton can be all positive (nonnegative)?

PROBLEM C.5. What are in general the properties of compact, NONtrivial, contracting Ricci solitons?

See Derdzinski [**11**], Fernández–López and García–Río [**15**].

References

1. P. Baird and L. Danielo, *Three–dimensional Ricci solitons which project to surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 65–91.
2. C. Böhm and B. Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) (to appear).
3. R. L. Bryant, *Gradient Kähler Ricci solitons*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2004.
4. H.-D. Cao, *Existence of gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Elliptic and parabolic methods in geometry (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996, pp. 1–16.
5. ———, *Geometry of Ricci solitons*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), no. 2, 121–142.
6. H.-D. Cao, B. Chow, S. C. Chu, and S.-T. Yau (eds.), *Collected papers on Ricci flow*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 37, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
7. X. Cao, *Compact gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with positive curvature operator*, J. Geom. Anal. **17** (2007), no. 3, 425–433.
8. X. Cao and B. Wang, *On locally conformally flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*, preprint (2008).
9. X. Chen, P. Lu, and G. Tian, *A note on uniformization of Riemann surfaces by Ricci flow*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 11, 3391–3393 (electronic).
10. B. Chow and D. Knopf, *The Ricci flow: an introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
11. A. Derdzinski, *A Myers–type theorem and compact Ricci solitons*, Proc. AMS **134** (2006), 3645–3648.
12. ———, *Compact Ricci solitons*, (in preparation).
13. F. Fang, J. Man, and Z. Zhang, *Complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons have finite topological type*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2007.
14. M. Feldman, T. Ilmanen, and D. Knopf, *Rotationally symmetric shrinking and axpanding gradient Kähler–Ricci solitons*, J. Diff. Geom. **65** (2003), 169–209.
15. M. Fernández-López and E. García-Río, *A remark on compact Ricci solitons*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 4, 893–896.
16. S. Gallot, D. Hulin, and J. Lafontaine, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer, 1990.
17. R. S. Hamilton, *Four–manifolds with positive curvature operator*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), no. 2, 153–179.

18. ———, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 237–262.
19. T. Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three–manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **3** (1993), no. 4, 301–307.
20. N. Koiso, *On rotationally symmetric Hamilton's equation for Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 18, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 327–337.
21. J. Lott, *On the long–time behavior of type–iii Ricci flow solutions*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2005.
22. A. Naber, *Noncompact shrinking* 4*–solitons with nonnegative curvature*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2007.
23. L. Ni and N. Wallach, *On* 4*–dimensional gradient shrinking solitons*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2007.
24. ———, *On a classification of the gradient shrinking solitons*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2007.
25. G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2002.
26. P. Petersen and W. Wylie, *On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons*, ArXiv Preprint Server – http://arxiv.org, 2007.
27. O. S. Rothaus, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **42** (1981), no. 1, 110–120.
28. S. Tachibana, *A theorem of Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 301–302.

(Manolo Eminenti)
E-mail address, M. Eminenti: `manolo@linuz.sns.it`

(Gabriele La Nave)
E-mail address, G. La Nave: `lanave@courant.nyu.edu`

(Carlo Mantegazza) SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE PISA, ITALY, 56126
E-mail address, C. Mantegazza: `mantegazza@sns.it`